Abi1 is essential for the formation and activation of a WAVE2 signalling complex
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WAVE2 belongs to a family of proteins that mediates actin reorganization by relaying signals from Rac to the Arp2/3 complex, resulting in lamellipodia protrusion. WAVE2 displays Arp2/3-dependent actin nucleation activity in vitro, and does not bind directly to Rac. Instead, it forms macromolecular complexes that have been reported to exert both positive and negative modes of regulation. How these complexes are assembled, localized and activated in vivo remains to be established. Here we use tandem mass spectrometry to identify an Abi1-based complex containing WAVE2, Nap1 (Nck-associated protein) and PIR121. Abi1 interacts directly with the WHD domain of WAVE2, increases WAVE2 actin polymerization activity and mediates the assembly of a WAVE2–Abi1–Nap1–PIR121 complex. The WAVE2–Abi1–Nap1–PIR121 complex is as active as the WAVE2–Abi1 sub-complex in stimulating Arp2/3, and after Rac activation it is re-localized to the leading edge of ruffles in vivo. Consistently, inhibition of Abi1 by RNA interference (RNAi) abrogates Rac-dependent lamellipodia protrusion. Thus, Abi1 orchestrates the proper assembly of the WAVE2 complex and mediates its activation at the leading edge in vivo.

Site-directed actin polymerization in response to signalling is at the origin of complex motile processes such as cell migration, neurite extension, and bud growth in yeast. This is mediated by a family of proteins, including WASP, N-WASP and Scar/WAVE, that contain a VCA (verprolin-homology, cofilin-homology and acidic region) catalytic domain. This domain can bind to G-actin and the Arp2/3 complex, resulting in filament branching at the membrane. Although the modes of WASP and N-WASP regulation have been elucidated, much less is known about WAVE proteins. For example, WAVE2 was found to bind activated Rac through IRSp53, which, in turn can stimulate WAVE2-nucleating activity, suggesting a positive mode of regulation. Conversely, WAVE1 was reported to be maintained in an inactive state through its association with three other proteins: Nap1, PIR121 and HSPC300. This complex is unable to stimulate actin polymerization in vitro. GTP-bound Rac relieves this inhibition by inducing the disassembly of the inhibitory Nap1–PIR121 sub-complex from the active WAVE1–HSPC300. Additional complexity to this mode of action is suggested by the observations that Abi1 and Abi2, two Abi-binding proteins involved in Rac activation, were identified as interactors of WAVE1 (ref. 8). Moreover, removing the Drosophila melanogaster homologues of Abi1, WAVE, Nap1 and PIR121 by RNAi abolished formation of lamellipodia, providing genetic evidence for interactions among these proteins. In any case, it seems that WAVEs assemble into multi-molecular units to function in vivo.

How these complexes are assembled, localized and regulated in vivo is not known. Understanding how the activity of WAVE is regulated requires a detailed analysis of the topological/functional relationships of the various partners of WAVE within the complexes. Here we use a reductionist approach, involving the reconstitution and characterization of sub-complexes of WAVE, to provide insight into the essential protein–protein interactions that control WAVE activity. This approach emphasizes the role of Abi1 in the regulation of WAVE2.

To identify interactors of Abi1, proteins specifically bound to Abi1 immunoprecipitates were resolved by SDS–PAGE and then subjected to mass spectrometry (Fig. 1a). The proteins that were unambiguously identified were: nebulin; ninein; auto T antigen; PIR121 (ref. 10; also named KIAA1168 (ref. 11), CYFIP2 (ref. 12) and POP (ref. 13)); Sra-1 (ref. 14; also named KIAA0068 (ref. 11)); Nap1 (also named Nap125 (ref. 15), NCKAP1 (ref. 16) and KIAA0587); WAVE2; HSP70; and HSP70 (Fig. 1a; see Supplementary Information, Fig. S1a). Validation of PIR121, Nap1 and WAVE2 as interactors of Abi1 was obtained by co-immunoprecipitation experiments. Immunoprecipitation of endogenous Abi1 resulted in the co-precipitation of PIR121, Nap1 and WAVE2 (Fig. 1b). In addition, PIR121, Nap1 and Abi1 also associated with WAVE immunocomplexes in reciprocal co-immunoprecipitation experiments (Fig. 1b). Together with the finding that all four proteins co-fractionate in gel filtration experiments (see below), this indicates that they form a tight macromolecular complex in vivo. Notably, treatment of cells with epidermal growth factor (EGF) or platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF)/serum (data not shown), known to elicit actin
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**LETTERS**

**Figure 1** Abi1 binds to PIR121, Nap1 and WAVE2. (a) Pre-immune (IP: control) and Abi1 immunoprecipitates (IP: Abi), isolated from lysates of untreated (−) or EGF-treated (+) Cos-7 cells, were resolved by SDS–PAGE and stained with Brilliant Blue G Colloidal. The immunoeaffinity purified bands were identified by MALDI spectrometry. PIR121, Nap1, WAVE2 and Abi1 are indicated. (b) Validation of PIR121, Nap1 and WAVE2 as Abi1 interactors. Lysates (1 mg) from HeLa cells, either untreated or treated with EGF, were immunoprecipitated with the indicated antibodies or the appropriate pre-immune sera. Lysates (20 µg) and immunoprecipitates were immunoblotted with the indicated antibodies. (c) Recombinant WAVE2 and Abi1 co-purify as a complex. Lysates of Sf9 cells, infected with GST–WAVE2 virus alone or together with His–Abi1 virus, were affinity purified using glutathione–agarose. The purified WAVE2 and WAVE2–Abi1 complex were visualized by Coomassie staining or immunoblotted with anti-GST or anti-His antibodies to detect GST–WAVE2 or His–Abi1, respectively. Molecular weight markers are shown on the right. (d) The WAVE2–Abi1 association is direct and mediated by the WHD domain of WAVE2. Binding of purified His–Abi1 to WAVE2 proteins (amino-acid boundaries are indicated) fused to GST or GST alone was detected by immunoblotting with an anti-His antibody. Shown are 30% of the input and 100% of the bound materials. A schematic representation of WAVE2 domain organization and of the WAVE2 fragments used is indicated. WHD, WAVE-homology domain; Pro-rich, proline-rich region; WH2, WASP-homologous domain 2; A, acidic region. (e) The N-terminal region of Abi1 is required for WAVE2 binding. Lysates from 293T cells transfected with the indicated HA–Abi1 proteins were incubated with GST alone or GST fused to the WAVE2 WHD domain. Lysates and bound proteins were immunoblotted with an anti-HA antibody. A schematic representation of Abi1 domain organization and of the Abi1 fragments used is indicated (CC, coiled-coil region; Pro-rich, proline-rich region; SH3, Src-homology 3 domain). Abi-DAWN, a version of Abi harbouring the mutations D453A and W455N.
polymerization, did not affect the association between PIR121, Nap1, WAVE and Abi1, indicating that the formation and stability of this complex are independent of stimuli resulting in actin remodelling (Fig. 1a, b).

To gain insight into the topological arrangement of the Abi1 complex, recombinant and purified proteins were used to reconstitute the complex in vitro and to map the interacting surfaces. Co-infection of insect cells with His–Abi1 and glutathione S-transferase (GST)–WAVE2 viruses followed by affinity precipitation using glutathione beads resulted in the co-purification of the two proteins (Fig. 1c). This interaction was mediated by the amino-terminal WA VE domain of Abi1. The WHD domain of WAVE2 was expressed as a GST fusion protein and purified GST–WAVE2, GST–WAVE2–Abi1 complex or GST alone were incubated with purified (Flag–Nap1)–(Myc–PIR121) complex (20% of total). (d) Recombinant and purified GST–Nap1 binds directly to Abi1. Binding of His–Abi1 to immobilized GST–Nap1 or GST was detected by immunoblot analysis with an anti-His antibody to detect Abi1. The input lane contains His–Abi1 used for the in vitro binding (5% of total). (e) GTP-loaded Rac, but not GST–Cdc42, binds to the Nap1–PIR121 complex. Binding of purified Nap1–PIR121 complex to immobilized, recombinant GST–Rac (left) or GST–Cdc42 (right). Rac and Cdc42 were depleted of nucleotide, loaded with GDP, or loaded with GTP-γS (see Methods). Bound proteins were detected by immunoblotting with anti-Flag and anti-Myc antibodies to detect Nap1 and PIR121, respectively. The input lane contained the (Flag–Nap1)–(Myc–PIR121) complex (20% of total).

interaction with the WAVE2 WHD domain. The association of Abi1 with this WAVE2 domain was retained when the SH3-binding ability of Abi1 was impaired (Fig. 1e) or the entire proline-rich region and SH3 domain of Abi1 was removed (see Supplementary Information, Fig. S1d).

The direct association between WAVE2 and Abi1 suggests that these proteins may represent the backbone on which the other components then assemble. Nap1 and PIR121 are often found together in different multimolecular complexes. In this study, these features were exploited to affinity purify these proteins as a sub-complex from 293T cells overexpressing Flag–Nap1 and Myc–PIR121, using immobilized anti-Flag antibodies. This resulted in the purification of a >95% pure Nap1–PIR121 complex (Fig. 2a). Recombinant and purified GST–Abi1 (Fig. 2b, left) associated directly with the Nap1–PIR121 sub-complex (Fig. 2b, right). Moreover, the preformed GST–WAVE2–Abi1 complex, but not GST–WAVE2 alone, bound complex. The input (Flag–Nap1)–(Myc–PIR121) complex, 20% of total) and the affinity precipitated materials were immunoblotted with anti-Myc or anti-Flag antibodies to detect PIR121 and Nap1, respectively. An anti-GST antibody was used to detect GST and GST–WAVE2. Abi1 present in the WAVE2–Abi1 lane was detected with an anti-Abi1 antibody.

The direct association between WA VE2 and Abi1 suggests that these proteins may represent the backbone on which the other components then assemble. Nap1 and PIR121 are often found together in different multimolecular complexes. In this study, these features were exploited to affinity purify these proteins as a sub-complex from 293T cells overexpressing Flag–Nap1 and Myc–PIR121, using immobilized anti-Flag antibodies. This resulted in the purification of a >95% pure Nap1–PIR121 complex (Fig. 2a). Recombinant and purified GST–Abi1 (Fig. 2b, left) associated directly with the Nap1–PIR121 sub-complex (Fig. 2b, right). Moreover, the preformed GST–WAVE2–Abi1 complex, but not GST–WAVE2 alone, bound complex. The input (Flag–Nap1)–(Myc–PIR121) complex, 20% of total) and the affinity precipitated materials were immunoblotted with anti-Myc or anti-Flag antibodies to detect PIR121 and Nap1, respectively. An anti-GST antibody was used to detect GST and GST–WAVE2. Abi1 present in the WAVE2–Abi1 lane was detected with an anti-Abi1 antibody.
directly to Nap1–PIR121 (Fig. 2c). Finally, purified His–Abi1 associated with GST–Nap1 (Fig. 2d), suggesting that Nap1 mediates the interaction of the Nap1–PIR121 complex to Abi1. Therefore, Abi1 is essential for the assembly of a WA VE2–Abi1–Nap1–PIR121 macromolecular complex.

In agreement with an earlier finding 18, the purified Nap1–PIR121 sub-complex associated specifically with recombinant GTP-γS-loaded Rac (Fig. 2e, left panels), but not with GTP-loaded Cdc42 (Fig. 2e, right panels). Moreover, no interaction was observed when Rac (or Cdc42) was in a nucleotide-free or a GDP-bound state (Fig. 2e). In addition, no binding between GTP-γS–Rac1 and Nap1 or the WA VE2–Abi1 complex could be detected (see Supplementary

---

**Figure 3** The WAVE–Abi1–Nap1–PIR121 complex is stable after GTP-γS-Rac binding. (a) GTP-loaded Rac binds to, but does not disrupt, the in-vitro-reconstituted WA VE2–Abi1–Nap1–PIR121 complex. Recombinant Rac loaded with GTP-γS (GTP-γS-Rac; 100 µM) was incubated with the pre-assembled GST–WA VE2–Abi1–Nap1–PIR121 complex (100 nM) immobilized on glutathione beads. Bound proteins were immunoblotted with the indicated antibodies. Shown are 1% of the input and 100% of the bound material. (b) Gel-filtration chromatography of endogenous WA VE proteins, Abi1, Nap1 and PIR121 in the presence or absence of GTP-loaded Rac. Total cellular lysates of 293T cells (3.5 mg), incubated in the presence of GTP-γS-bound RacQ61L (+), or GST as a control (−), were applied onto a 200-ml prep grade Superose 6 column. An aliquot of each fraction (indicated at the top) was resolved by SDS–PAGE and immunoblotted with the indicated antibodies. The elution profile of proteins of known molecular weight is indicated at the bottom. The input lane contained 100 µg of total cellular lysates. (c) The association of endogenous WA VE proteins, Nap1 and PIR121 to Abi1 is not altered by the expression of activated or dominant-negative mutants of Rac. Total cellular lysates of 293T cells transfected with RacT17N, RacQ61L or empty vector as a control (−), were immunoprecipitated with anti-Abi1 antibody. Lysate and immunoprecipitates were immunoblotted with the indicated antibodies. More than 90% of cells expressed the transfected Rac constructs, as judged by immunofluorescence microscopy analysis (data not shown). Equal amounts of Abi1 were immunoprecipitated under the different experimental conditions. The electrophoretic mobility shift of Abi1 in the RacQ61L lane is caused by phosphorylation (data not shown).
Information, Fig. S1f). Therefore, the Nap1–PIR121 sub-complex links Rac to the WA VE2–Abi1 complex. Consistently, GTP-$\gamma$S-loaded Rac could be recovered with the pre-assembled, immobilized GST–WA VE2–Abi1–Nap1–PIR121 complex (Fig. 3a, Methods). This indicates that unlike the WA VE1–Nap1–PIR121–HSPC300 heterotrimer (which dissociates after binding to GTP-loaded Rac 4), the WA VE2–Abi1–Nap1–PIR121 complex is stable after addition of a 1,000-fold molar excess of activated Rac. To test whether the stability of the complex in the presence of GTP-loaded Rac is physiologically relevant, different experimental approaches were undertaken. First, analysis of the elution profile of total cellular lysates by size exclusion chromatography revealed that WA VE1 and WA VE2 co-eluted at a relative molecular mass ($M_r$) of approximately 500,000 (500 K). The addition of GTP-loaded Rac to the lysates before gel filtration chromatography (Fig. 3b) or transfection with a constitutively active Rac (RacQ61L; see Supplementary Information, Fig. S2a) did not alter the elution profile of any of the components of the complex. Notably, the amount of activated Rac eluted with (Fig. 3b), or associated with (Fig. 3c), the endogenous WA VE–Abi1–Nap1–PIR121 complex was relatively small. However, this is expected when a protein is expressed to high levels through transient transfection or when an excess of recombinant protein is used. Similar findings were obtained when total cellular lysates from mouse brain (which express mainly the tissue specific WA VE1 and Abi2 proteins) were used20,21. This indicates that both WA VE1 and Abi1 are present in WA VE1–Abi1–Nap1–PIR121–HSPC300 complex (W1ANP + HSPC300), WA VE1–Abi1–Nap1–PIR121–HSPC300 complex + 2 $\mu$M of Rac-GTP (W1ANP + HSPC300 + Rac-GTP). Equimolar amounts (100 nM) of WA VE1, Abi1, Nap1, PIR121 and HSPC300 were used. The VCA domain of WA VE2 (100 nM), which displayed a reduced actin-polymerizing activity when compared with the VCA domain of N-WASP (see Supplementary Information, Fig. 4e), was used to activate Arp2/3, as a positive control. HSPC300, produced as a GST fusion protein, bound WA VE proteins directly (see Supplementary Information, Fig. 4f). The concentration of actin was 2.5 $\mu$M and that of the Arp2/3 complex was 10 nM.

Figure 4 Stimulation of Arp2/3 by WA VE2 and WA VE1 is enhanced by Abi1. (a) Kinetics of Arp2/3-mediated polymerization in the presence of WA VE2, the VCA domain, WA VE2 and Abi1 added separately (WA VE2 + Abi1), and the WA VE2–Abi1 complex (W2A, 100 nM). (b) WA VE2–Abi1 complex (W2A, 100 nM) in the absence or presence of increasing concentration of the Nap1–PIR121 complex (NP, 100 nM, 400 nM and 800 nM). (c) WA VE2–Abi1–Nap1–PIR121 complex (W2ANP, 100 nM) in combination with GTP-$\gamma$S-loaded GST–Rac1 (Rac-GTP, 2 $\mu$M), HSPC300 (100 nM), or Rac-GTP and HSPC300 together. (d) Kinetics of Arp2/3-mediated polymerization in the presence of WA VE1, WA VE1 + HSPC300, WA VE1–Abi1–Nap1–PIR121–HSPC300 complex (W1ANP + HSPC300), WA VE1–Abi1–Nap1–PIR121–HSPC300 complex + 2 $\mu$M of Rac-GTP (W1ANP + HSPC300 + Rac-GTP). Equimolar amounts (100 nM) of WA VE2, Abi1, Nap1, PIR121 and HSPC300 were used. The VCA domain of WA VE2 (100 nM), which displayed a reduced actin-polymerizing activity when compared with the VCA domain of N-WASP (see Supplementary Information, Fig. 4e), was used to activate Arp2/3, as a positive control. HSPC300, produced as a GST fusion protein, bound WA VE proteins directly (see Supplementary Information, Fig. 4f). The concentration of actin was 2.5 $\mu$M and that of the Arp2/3 complex was 10 nM.
WAVE2 proteins associate stably in an Abi–Nap1–PIR121 complex (see Supplementary Information, Fig. S2b). Finally, ectopic expression of RacG61L (Fig. 3c) or treatment with EGF (Fig. 1b; also see Supplementary Information, Fig. S3c–e) caused no reduction in the amount of WAVE, Nap1 or PIR121 co-immunoprecipitating with Abi1. Thus, WAVE, Abi1, Nap1 and PIR121 exist as a stable macromolecular complex both in vitro and in vivo.

Next, we tested the ability of recombinant WAVE2 and the reconstituted WAVE2 complex to activate Arp2/3, in a pyrene-labelled actin polymerization assay. Recombinant WAVE2 activated the Arp2/3 complex, and the addition of Abi1 significantly increased this activity (Fig. 4a). Conversely, addition of increasing amounts of the Nap1–PIR121 sub-complex had no effect on activation of the Arp2/3 complex induced by WAVE2 (data not shown) or WAVE2–Abi1 (Fig. 4b). Similarly, a reconstituted pre-assembled WAVE2–Abi1–Nap1–PIR121 complex attained the same activity as the WAVE2–Abi1 complex (data not shown). These results are in contrast to an earlier report, showing that WAVE1 is trans-inhibited when engaged in a complex containing Nap1, PIR121, HSPC300, and possibly Abi2 (ref. 4). To test whether the presence of HSPC300 and/or intrinsic differences between the two WAVE proteins (WAVE1 versus WAVE2) accounts for these discrepancies, we purified recombinant WAVE1 and HSPC300 and tested the actin polymerization activity of the reconstituted complexes containing either WAVE1–Abi1–Nap1–PIR121 or WAVE2–Abi1–Nap1–PIR121. Both WAVE- and WAVE1-based complexes displayed Arp2/3-dependent actin-branching activity that was not significantly altered by the addition of HSPC300 (Fig. 4c, d). Conversely, transfection of HeLa cells with RacG61L (left) or HA–Cdc42G42S (centre) were fixed and stained with an anti-HA (red) antibody, and FITC-conjugated phalloidin to visualize F-actin (FITC, green). A puromycin-selected mass population is shown. (f) Abi1 gene silencing abrogates Rac-mediated actin remodelling. Control and Abi1 knock-down (Abi1 K.D.) HeLa cells transfected with HA–RacG61L (left) or HA–Cdc42G42S (centre) were fixed and stained with an anti-HA (red) antibody, and FITC-conjugated phalloidin. More than 90% of transfected cells displayed the actin cytoskeleton phenotypes shown. Control and Abi1 knock-down HeLa clones were plated on FN-coated coverslips (right). After various times, cells were fixed and stained for F-actin. Shown are cells fixed 180 min after plating. Scale bar represents 10 μm for all panels.

Abi1 is essential for Rac-dependent lamellipodia protrusions. (a) Rac-induced actin remodelling. HeLa cells transfected with HA–RacG61L were serum starved, fixed and stained with an anti-HA antibody (Rac, red) and FITC–phalloidin to visualize F-actin (phalloidin, green). Colocalization of Rac and F-actin (yellow) is shown in the merged image. More than 90% of RacG61L-expressing cells formed dorsal ruffles. (b) Re-localization of Abi1, WAVE2, Nap1 and PIR121 to Rac-induced ruffles. HeLa cells, transfected with GFP–Abi1, GFP–WAVE2, GFP–Nap1, or GFP–PIR121 alone or in combination with HA–RacG61L were fixed. GFP and F-actin were detected by epifluorescence (green), and by phalloidin staining (red), respectively. The expression of GFP fusion proteins and RacG61L was assessed by staining with an anti-HA antibody (see Supplementary Information, Fig. 5). Endogenous Abi1 and WAVE2 accumulated into RacG61L-induced lamellipodia (see Supplementary Information, Fig. 4g). Confocal apical sections are shown. (c–e) Silencing of Abi1 by siRNA. (c) Stable suppression of Abi1 gene expression in HeLa cells was obtained by co-transfecting pAV vectors (pAV-ctr or pAV-Abi1-197 or pAV-Abi1-169) with pBabe-puro plasmids. Cells were selected with 2.5 μg ml−1 puromycin, fixed and then stained to detect Abi1 antibody (red) and F-actin (green). A puromycin-selected mass population is shown. (d, e) Three representative stable clones (obtained by limiting dilution) for pAV-ctr (ctr) and pAV-Abi1-197 (197) and pAV-Abi1-169 (169) were analysed by immunoblotting with the indicated antibodies (d), or real-time, quantitative RT-PCR using specific Abi1 primers (e). Data, normalized to GAPDH or actin mRNA, are expressed relative to the levels of Abi1 mRNA detected in control cells. (f) Abi1 gene silencing abrogates Rac-mediated actin remodelling. Control and Abi1 knock-down (Abi1 K.D.) HeLa cells transfected with HA–RacG61L (left) or HA–Cdc42G42S (centre) were fixed and stained with an anti-HA (red) antibody, and FITC-conjugated phalloidin. More than 90% of transfected cells displayed the actin cytoskeleton phenotypes shown. Control and Abi1 knock-down HeLa clones were plated on FN-coated coverslips (right). After various times, cells were fixed and stained for F-actin. Shown are cells fixed 180 min after plating. Scale bar represents 10 μm for all panels.
predicted to function in Rac-dependent actin remodelling. To test this, RT-PCR analysis (Fig. 5c, d). Analysis of the cyto-architecture of Abi1 immunofluorescence microscopy, immunoblotting and quantitative transfected with siRNA-expressing vectors, as determined by transcripts. Abi1 expression could be successfully ablated in HeLa cells we employed a small-interfering RNA strategy to knock down Abi1 (see Supplementary Information, Fig. S3c). More importantly, they down-regulation of WA VE2, Nap1 and PIR121 protein levels (see Supplementary Information, Fig. S3f–i), Nap1 or PIR121 (refs 9, 24). Interestingly, functional removal of either WA VE2 (Supplemental Information Fig. 4a) or Abi1 (see Supplementary Information, Fig. S4b) prevented the redistribution of Rac to levels similar to those observed in control cells (see Supplementary Information, Fig. S3d, e). A similar impairment in Rac-dependent membrane protrusion could also be observed after siRNA-mediated ablation of WA VE2 (see Supplementary Information, Fig. S3f–i), Nap1 or PIR121 (refs 9, 24). Interestingly, functional removal of either WA VE2 (Supplemental Information Fig. 4a) or Abi1 (see Supplementary Information, Fig. S4b) prevented the redistribution of other components of the complex to the leading edge of lamellipodia, indicating that the integrity of the complex is essential for its proper localization. Finally, in agreement with recent reports9,24, blockade of Abi1 expression resulted in a substantial and specific polymerization is controlled in a site-restricted fashion to elicit protrusion. Rac-dependent signalling results in the creation of new barbed ends by uncapping22 and de novo nucleation, which is favoured by the increase in the steady-state concentration of G-actin that results from modulating the severing and depolymerizing activity of ADF–cofilin23. These results are in contrast to recent findings, which reported that a WA VE1-negative RAChook mutant (Cdc42 Q61L) is impaired in its ability to associate to its SH3-dependent ligands. The monoclonal anti-Abi1 antibody was generated against the peptide PPVYDEEEEAAVQVDIPADGDPWAPKNYL. Anti-Abi1 polyclonal serum recognizes a peptide spanning amino acids 1071–1085 (Eurogentec, Belgium). Polyclonal anti-PIR121/p140Sra, and anti-Nap1 polyclonal anti-Flag (Sigma, St Louis, MO), monoclonal anti-actin and profilin (Cytoskeleton, Denver, CO), rabbit polyclonal anti-Abi1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). 

METHODS

Expression vectors, antibodies and cells. GMV (Cytomegalovirus)-promoter-based, EF (Elongation Factor-1α)-promoter-based eukaryotic expression vectors, and GST bacterial expression vectors were generated by recombinant PCR. HSPC300 was cloned by PCR from the UniGene Cluster Hs.421654. The pAV vector for suppression of gene expression was obtained by subcloning the H1-polymerase III-dependent promoter into a mammalian expression vector. Gene-specific targeting sequences were cloned 3′ of the H1 promoter, pFastBac-GST-WAVE2 (kindly provided by H. Miki; ref. 3) or pFastBac HT containing the Abi1 ORF (amino acid 2–480) were used as donors to generate recombinant bacmids according to Bac-to-Bac system (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). All constructs were sequence verified. Flag–Nap1 was from A. Yamamoto27. Myc–PIR121 was a gift of A. Schenck and has been previously described22. pEFGP–Nap1 and pEFGP–PIR121 were from T. Stradal (GBF, Braunschweig, Germany). pGEX–WA VE1 was from L. Macheschy (Birmingham, UK). The DAWN (D453A and W455N) mutant of Abi1, used in the experiments of Fig. 1e, is impaired in its ability to associate to its SH3-dependent ligands. Antibodies were: rabbit polyclonal anti-Abi129, monoclonal anti-His (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), anti-HA11 and anti-Myc 9E10 (Babco, Berkeley, CA), anti-Rac1 (Transduction Laboratories, Lexington, CA), rabbit polyclonal anti-p52 (RFP) (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA), monoclonal anti-Flag (Sigma, St Louis, MO), monoclonal anti-actin and profilin (Cytoskeleton, Denver, CO), rabbit polyclonal anti-Abi1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology).

Our results indicate that Abi1 is an essential component of WA VE2-containing signalling complexes and is required to mediate Rac-dependent actin remodelling. WA VE1 and WA VE2 activities in vitro, and connects WA VE2 to Rac through the assembly of a WA VE2–Abi1–Nap1–PIR121 complex. The WA VE2–Abi1–Nap1–PIR121 complex is recruited to lamellipodia after Rac activation, resulting in site-directed nucleation of actin filaments. Binding of the WA VE(s)–Abi1–Nap1–PIR121 complex to Rac does not result in dissociation of the complex or affect its activity. These results are in contrast to recent findings, which reported that a WA VE1-containing complex purified from mouse brain is inactive and that binding of GTP-Rac causes disruption of the complex and activation of WA VE1 (ref. 4). Several possibilities may account for these differences. For example, the exact composition and structure of the complexes, either isolated from brain tissues or reconstituted from recombinant proteins, as well as their state of post-translational modification, might be different. In our hands, however, there was no evidence for GTP-Rac-induced dissociation of endogenous WA VE2-containing complexes isolated from a variety of cell lysates (Fig. 3; see Supplementary Information, Fig. S5a, b). We therefore favour the view that the WA VE2 complex is stable, active, and presumably able to bind Arp2/3 in the cytoplasm. However, the stimulation of actin assembly occurs only at the leading edge, raising the question of how WA VE2-induced actin polymerization is controlled in a site-restricted fashion to elicit protrusion. In this respect, it should be noted that the actual branching process requires the association of a ternary, WA VE–G-actin–Arp2/3 complex to filaments to elicit branching, thus multiplying growing barbed ends. In quiescent cells, filaments exist but barbed ends are mostly capped22, thereby preventing unwanted actin branching. Rac-dependent signalling results in the creation of new barbed ends by uncapping22 and de novo nucleation, which is favoured by the increase in the steady-state concentration of G-actin that results from modulating the severing and depolymerizing activity of ADF–cofilin23. These results are in contrast to recent findings, which reported that a WA VE1-negative RAC hook mutant (Cdc42 Q61L) is impaired in its ability to associate to its SH3-dependent ligands. The monoclonal anti-Abi1 antibody was generated against the peptide PPVYDEEEEAAVQVDIPADGDPWAPKNYL. Anti-Abi1 polyclonal serum recognizes a peptide spanning amino acids 1071–1085 (Eurogentec, Belgium). Polyclonal anti-PIR121/p140Sra, and anti-Nap1 polyclonal anti-Flag (Sigma, St Louis, MO), monoclonal anti-actin and profilin (Cytoskeleton, Denver, CO), rabbit polyclonal anti-Abi1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology).
with pAV vectors together with plasmids carrying a puromycin resistance gene. At least six independently isolated Abi1 knockdown clones were analysed and showed similar results. Abi1 gene silencing could also be observed in transient experiments and caused a similar impairment in Rac-dependent actin remodelling (data not shown).

Mass spectrometry. Total cell lysates (40 mg) were immunoprecipitated with purified anti-Abi1 antibody, or control rabbit IgG coupled to Protein A–Sepharose. Proteins bound to the Abi1 immunocomplex were resolved by one-dimensional SDS–PAGE, visualized by Brilliant Blue G Colloidal (Sigma) staining, excised and then digested in the gel with trypsin, as described20. High mass accuracy matrix-assisted laser-desorption ionization (MALDI) spectrometry was performed using a Voyager-DE BioSpectrometry workstation for MALDI time-of-flight mass spectrometry (PerSeptive Biosoftware, Foster City, CA). Matrix-related ions and trypsin autolysis products were used for internal calibration. Delayed ion extraction resulted in peptide masses with better than 35 ppm mass accuracy on average. Peptide Mapping Software (Rockefeller University, version 4.10.5) was used to search a non-redundant protein sequence database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/Entrez/Center_for_Bioinformatics). The MALDI data were sufficient for the unambiguous identification of the proteins. In the case of WAVE2, nanoelectrospray peptide sequencing was also performed (see Supplementary Information, Fig. S1a).

Protein purification. His–Abi1, GST–WAVE2 and the GST–WAVE2–Abi1 complex were purified from 59 insect cells. Briefly, 59 cells infected with the appropriate baculovirus were lysed in 50 mM Tris-HCl at pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 5% glycerol, protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Basel, Switzerland), 1 mM NaF and 1 mM Na3VO4. GST–WAVE2 and GST–WAVE2–Abi1 complex were affinity purified using GS48 glutathione–Sepharose beads (Amerham Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, NJ). His–Abi1 was purified using Ni–NTA agarose (Qiagen) following standard procedures. Recombinant full-length Abi1, WAVE1, Nap1, Rac1, Cdc42 and WAVE2 fragments were all expressed as GST fusion proteins in BL21 Escherichia coli strain (Stratagene, Cedar Creek, TX). Abi1, produced either from insect cells (as a histidine-tagged protein) or from E.coli (as a GST fusion protein), displayed the same binding capability to its partners and similar activity for actin polymerization in vitro. Thrombin (Calbiochem-Novabiochem, San Diego, CA) was used to cleave GST from GST–Rac1, which was loaded with GDP or GTP–γ–S as described21.

The PIR121–Nap1 sub-complex was affinity purified from 293T cells transfected with Flag–Nap1 together with Myc–PIR121 plasmids, using anti-Flag antibody coupled to agarose beads (Sigma). Bound proteins were eluted with a 20 mM Tris–HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol and 0.1% Triton X–100. Lysates were centrifuged at 15,000 × g for 15 min, and the supernatant fraction was subjected to size-exclusion chromatography. Using 50 mM Tris–HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 5% glycerol, protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Basel, Switzerland), 1 mM NaF and 1 mM Na3VO4, GST–WAVE2 and GST–WAVE2–Abi1 complex were affinity purified using GS48 glutathione–Sepharose beads (Amerham Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, NJ). His–Abi1 was purified using Ni–NTA agarose (Qiagen) following standard procedures. Recombinant full-length Abi1, WAVE1, Nap1, Rac1, Cdc42 and WAVE2 fragments were all expressed as GST fusion proteins in BL21 Escherichia coli strain (Stratagene, Cedar Creek, TX). Abi1, produced either from insect cells (as a histidine-tagged protein) or from E.coli (as a GST fusion protein), displayed the same binding capability to its partners and similar activity for actin polymerization in vitro. Thrombin (Calbiochem-Novabiochem, San Diego, CA) was used to cleave GST from GST–Rac1, which was loaded with GDP or GTP–γ–S as described21.

Reconstitution of the PIR121–Nap1–Abi1–WA VE2 complex was judged by comparison with the elution profile of molecular weight standards. Aliquots of each fraction were resolved by SDS–PAGE and immunoblotted with the appropriate antibodies. When indicated, GTP–γ–S–loaded GST–RacQ61L or GST–Rac, or GST alone, were incubated at 4 °C with total cellular lysates in the presence of 10 mM MgCl2 to preserve Rac loading before size exclusion chromatography. Notably, other known Abi1–binding partners, such as Eps8, Sos-1 and Abi1, did not co-elute together with Abi1, indicating that they are not part of the WAVE2–Abi1–Nap1–PIR121 core complex.

Actin polymerization was monitored by the increase in fluorescence of 10% pyrene–labelled actin. Polymerization was induced by addition of 0.1 M KCl, 1 mM MgCl2 and 0.2 mM EGTA to a solution of Ca2+–ATP–G-actin containing Arp2/3 and the different proteins, as described in Fig. 4. Fluorescence measurements were performed at 20 °C in a Safax ffX spectrofluorometer in which polymerization time courses of up to ten samples can be monitored simultaneously. Abi1, Nap1, PIR121 and HSPC300 had no effect on actin polymerization performed in presence of actin and Arp2/3 (see Supplementary Information, Fig. S4d).

Transfection and immunofluorescence microscopy. Cells seeded on gelatin were transfected with the indicated expression vectors using the LIPOfectamine reagent (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer's instructions. After 48 h, cells were processed for epifluorescence or indirect immunofluorescence microscopy. Briefly, cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min, permeabilized in 0.1% Triton X-100 and 2% BSA for 10 min, and then incubated with the primary antibody for 45 min, followed by incubation with the secondary antibody for 30 min. F-actin was detected by staining with rhodamine-conjugated phallolidin (Sigma) at a concentration of 6.7 U ml−1. Where indicated, cells were treated with EGF (100 ng ml−1) for 10 min. For spreading assays, Abi1 knock-down and the corresponding control cells were detached from the plate, incubated in medium containing 10% serum for 1 h before seeding on fibronectin-coated coverslips. Cells were fixed at different times and processed for indirect immunofluorescence microscopy to detect F-actin.

Note: Supplementary Information is available on the Nature Cell Biology website.
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